Peru

Transfer Pricing Country Profile

December 2021

		SUMMARY	REFERENCE
		The Arm's Length Principle	
1	Does your domestic legislation or regulation make reference to the Arm's Length Principle?	 ➤ Yes ☐ No Article 32-A (4) of the Income Tax Law establishes that market value for transactions between related parties or made from, to or through countries or non-cooperative jurisdictions or with low or zero taxation or transactions made with persons whose income or earnings are subject to a preferential tax regime, shall be the prices and amount that would have been agreed with or between independent parties in comparable transactions, under the same or similar conditions. 	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°, numeral 4
2	What is the role of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines under your domestic legislation?	According to Article 32-A, subsection h) of the Income Tax Law, the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines (TPG) are used as source of interpretation, as long as they do not oppose to the provisions approved by that law.	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32-A, subsection h)
3	Does your domestic legislation or regulation provide a definition of related parties? If so, please provide the definition contained under your domestic law or regulation.		Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection b) Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter VI, Article 24

Article 24° of the Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF provides the situations that determine that two or more persons, companies or entities are related parties, according to the following:

- 1. A natural or legal person owns more than thirty percent (30%) of the capital of another legal person, directly or through a third party.
- 2. More than thirty percent (30%) of the capital of two (2) or more legal persons belongs to the same natural or legal person, directly or through a third party.
- 3. In any of the previous situations, when the indicated percentage of company's capital belongs to spouses or to natural persons related to the second degree of consanguinity or affinity.
- 4. The capital of two (2) or more legal persons belongs, in more than thirty percent (30%), to common partners.
- 5. Legal persons or entities have one or more directors, managers, administrators or other common managers with decision-making power in the financial, operational and /or commercial agreements to be adopted.
- 6. Two or more natural or legal persons consolidate Financial Statements.
- 7. There is a business collaboration contract with separate accounting, in which case the contract will be considered related to those contracting parties that participate, directly or through a third party, in more than thirty percent (30%) in the contract equity or when any of the contracting parties have decision-making power in the financial, commercial or operational agreements adopted for the development of the contract, in which case the contracting party exercising the decision-making power is considered related to the contract.
- 8. In the case of a business collaboration contract without separate accounting, the relation between each of the parties and their counterpart involved in the contract must be verified individually, applying one of the relation criteria established in this article. The counterpart is the natural or legal person with whom the parties celebrate some transaction in order to reach the object of the contract.
- 9. There is a partnership agreement, in which one of the partners, directly or indirectly, participates in more than thirty percent (30%) in the profits or benefits of one or more businesses of the partner, in which case it considers that there is a relation between the partner and each one of its associates. A relation will also be considered when one of the partners has decision-making power in the financial, commercial or operational aspects of one or more of the business of the partner.

- 10. A non-resident company has one or more permanent establishments in the country, in which case there will be a relation between the non-resident company and each of its permanent establishments and between all of them.
- 11. A company resident in Peruvian territory has one or more permanent establishments in other country, in which case there will be a relation between the resident company and each of its permanent establishments.
- 12. A natural or legal person exercises a dominant influence over the decisions of the management bodies of one or more legal persons or entities. In such a situation, the legal persons or entities influenced shall be considered related to each other and to the natural or legal person exercising that influence.

It is understood that a natural or legal person exercises a dominant influence when, in the adoption of the agreement, exercises or controls the absolute majority of votes for decision-making in the management bodies of the legal person or entity. In the case of decisions related to the matters mentioned in Article 126° of the General Companies Law, there will be a dominant influence of the natural or legal person who, participating in the adoption of the agreement, by itself or with the intervention of third party votes, has at the time of voting the highest number of shares subscribed with voting rights, provided that it has at least ten percent (10%) of the shares subscribed with voting rights.

The treatment of related parties will also be granted when a person, company or entity resident in Peruvian territory carries out, in the previous taxable year, eighty percent (80%) or more of its sales of goods, services or another type of transactions, with a person, company or entity, resident, or with resident persons, companies or entities related to each other, provided that such transactions in turn, represent at least thirty percent (30%) of purchases or acquisitions of the other party in the same period. For companies that have activities for periods greater than three taxable years, such percentages shall be calculated taking into account the average percentage of sales or purchases, as the case may be, made in the three previous taxable years. The provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to the transactions carried out by the companies who conduct the Government Business Activity, in which the government participation is greater than fifty percent (50%) of the capital.

The relation, according to this article terms, shall also works when the transaction is carried out using interposed persons or entities, domiciled or not in the country for the purpose of cover up a transaction between related parties.

				Transfe	r Pricing I	Methods			
4	Does your domestic legislation provide for transfer pricing methods to be used in respect of transactions	⊠ Yes							Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection e)
	between related parties?	If affirmativ	ve, please cl	neck those p	rovided for	in your legis	slation:		
		CUP	Resale Price	Cost Plus	TNMM	Profit Split	Other (If so, please describe)		
			\boxtimes			\boxtimes			
		scope of the	According to Article 32-A, subsection e), the prices of transactions subject to the scope of this article shall be determined in accordance with the internationally accepted methods established in this law, for which purpose it should be considered to be the most appropriate to reflect the economic reality of the transaction.						
		(1) Comparable uncontrolled price method (CUP)							
		Consist in determining the market value of goods and services between related parties considering the price or the amount of the consideration that had been agreed with or between independent parties in comparable transactions.							
		a buyer incorparty, multi of decreasir in comparation	determining ars with reg plying the a ng the gross ble transact comparable	g the market gard to its re- resale price profit marg- ions with use transaction	lated party, established gin usually conrelated parts s between u	which then by the buye btained by rties or in t nrelated thir	goods and services are resold to an un r by the result that the aforementioned the margin that is undirectly departies.	related comes l buyer usually	
		transfers to result that co that supplied is usually ob	determining its related comes from a r in transact otained in co	party, multi adding to the ions compai omparable to	plying the ce unit the material with in cansactions by	ost incurred argin of added dependent poetween inde	services that a solution is services that a solution such supplier, and cost that usually contained arties or in the margapendent third participation is sales of the sales of	by the obtains gin that es. The	

		(4) Profit method Consists in determining the market value of goods and services through the distribution of the global profits, which comes from the sum of partial profits obtained in each of the transactions between related parties, in the proportion that would have been distributed with or between unrelated parties, taking into account, among others, the sales, expenses, costs, risks assumed, assets involved and the functions performed by the related parties. (5) Residual Profit Split Method It consists in determining the market value of goods and services according to Article 32-A, subsection e (4), but distributing the overall profit as follows: i. The minimum profit corresponding to each related party shall be determined by applying any of the methods mentioned in this article, without taking into account the use of significant intangibles. ii. The residual profit will be determined by decreasing the minimum profit of the overall profit. The residual profit will be distributed among the related parties, taking into account, among other elements, the significant intangibles used by each of them, in the proportion that would have been distributed with or between unrelated parties. (6) Transactional Net Margin Method (TNMM) Consists in determining the profit of unrelated parties in comparable transactions, taking into account profitability factors based on variables such as assets, sales, expenses, costs, cash flows, among others. Exceptionally if none of the referred methods can be applied due to the nature and characteristics of the activities and transactions, other methods may be applied, in accordance with the provisions of the regulations.	
5	Which criterion is used in your jurisdiction for the application of transfer pricing methods?	Please check all that apply: ☐ Hierarchy of methods ☒ Most appropriate method ☐ Other (if so, please explain) According to the Income Tax Law, the prices of the transactions subject to the scope of the application of transfer pricing are determined in accordance with the most appropriate method to reflect the economic reality of the transaction. Likewise, the regulation of the law mentioned above has provisions to establish the most appropriate transfer pricing method according to certain criteria: i) Compatibility with the business or commercial structure of the company or entity,	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection e) Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter XIX, Article 113°

		 ii) Be provide with the best quality and quantity of information available for a proper application and justification, iii) The most appropriate degree of comparability between parties, transactions and functions, and iv) Require a lower level of adjustment in order to eliminate the differences between facts and comparable situations. 	
6	If your domestic legislation or regulations contain specific guidance on commodity transactions, indicate which of the following approaches is followed.	 □ For controlled transactions involving commodities, the guidance contained in paragraphs 2.18-2.22 of the TPG is followed. ☑ Domestic legislation mandates the use of a specific method for controlled transactions involving commodities (<i>if so, please explain</i>) □ Other (<i>if so, please explain</i>) 	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection e)
		According to Article 32-A, subsection e)(1) of the Income Tax Law, in case of export or import of goods with public prices in internationals, whether local or destination, markets, including derivative financial instruments markets, that the market value will be determined on the basis of such quotation value. For such purposes, the date of the quotation value is the quotation date or period	
		agreed that the taxpayer communicates to the Tax Administration, provided that it is in accordance with what independent parties have agreed under the same or similar conditions.	
		If the aforementioned communication is not presented or is presented untimely or incomplete, or contains information not in accordance with the agreement, the date of the quotation value will be considered the date of the shipment of the exported goods. In the case of imported goods, the date of the quotation value will be considered the end of the unloading.	
		Comparability Analysis	
7	Does your jurisdiction follow (or largely follow) the guidance on comparability analysis outlined in Chapter III of the TPG?		Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection d) Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter
	Chapter and on the an or	Peru largely follows the guidance on comparability analysis outlined in Chapter III of the TPG.	XIX, Articles 110 to 115

8	Is there a preference in your jurisdiction for domestic comparables over foreign comparables?	 ✓ Yes ☐ No According to Article 32-A, subsection d) of the Income Tax Law, when for the purpose of determining comparable transactions local information is not available, taxpayers may use information from foreign companies and should make the necessary adjustments to reflect the market differences. 	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection d) (third paragraph)
9	Does your tax administration use secret comparables for transfer pricing assessment purposes?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No According to Article 62(18) of the Tax Code, the taxpayer could have access to the information of the unrelated third parties used as comparable by SUNAT*. That information will not contain either the number of RUC** or the name of the taxpayer for tax confidentiality. * SUNAT: National Superintendence of Customs and Tax Administration of Peru. ** RUC: Taxpayer Identification Number in Peru. 	Tax Code, Book II, Title II, Chapter 2, Article 62, paragraph 18
10	Does your legislation allow or require the use of an arm's length range and/or statistical measure for determining arm's length remuneration?	☑ Yes ☐ No Article 114 of the Income Tax Regulation (Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF) establishes, in order to determine the price, amount paid or the profit margin that would have been used between unrelated parties, in comparable transactions and resulting from the application of any of the methods indicated in the Income Tax Law, a price range, amount of consideration or profit margins where there are two or more comparable transactions. In order to determine this price range, the Interquartile method and the median calculation shall be used, in accordance with Article 115 of the Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF.	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection d)
11	Are comparability adjustments required under your domestic legislation or regulations?	 ✓ Yes ☐ No The domestic regulation considers that, in order to eliminate differences between the transactions that are compared or between the characteristics of the parties that 	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection d) Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter XIX, Articles 111

		perform them or the functions that they execute, it should be taken into consideration, among others, the following elements: - Payment period; - Negotiated amounts; - Marketing and publicity; - Cost of intermediation; - Conditioning, freight and insurance; - Physical nature and matter in the case of goods, services or rights.	
		Intangible Property	
12	Does your domestic legislation or regulations contain guidance specific to the pricing of controlled		Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter XIX, Articles 110, numeral 1, paragraph d
	transactions involving intangibles?	The Income Tax Regulation establishes that in order to determine whether the transactions are comparable in accordance with what is established in the Income Tax Law, should be taken into account the nature of the transaction and the method to be applied, as well as the following elements or circumstances:	
		1. The characteristics of the transactions:	
		()	
		d) In the case of definitive assignment or use of intangible assets, elements such as:	
		(i) The contractual form of the transaction: License, franchise or permanent transfer;	
		(ii) The intangible identification (intellectual property or industrial property rights) as well as the description of any method, program, procedure, system, study or other technology transfer;	
		(iii) The contract duration;	
		(iv) The degree of protection and benefits expected to be gained from its use (future earnings value);	
		(v) How the benefits will be carried out.	

13	Does your domestic legislation or regulation provide for transfer pricing rules or special measures regarding hard-to-value intangibles (HTVI)?	□ Yes ⊠ No	HTVI Implementation Questionnaire
14	Are there any other rules outside transfer pricing rules that are relevant for the tax treatment of transactions involving intengibles?		Income Tax Law, Chapter VI, Article 44°, subsection g)
	transactions involving intangibles?	According to the Peruvian Income Tax Law and its regulation, deduction of price paid for limited-time intangibles (software, copyrights, patents, etc.) should be amortised over just one tax year or by the straight-line system for a ten-year term. In case of transfer through reorganization the right to deduct this type of assets is maintained. Unlimited time intangibles are not deductible in any case.	Income Tax Law, Chapter XIII, Article 107°
		Intra-Group Services	
15	Does your domestic legislation or regulations provide guidance specific to intra-group services transactions?		Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection i)
		The Income Tax Law establishes that the taxpayer must comply with the benefit test and provide the requested documentation and information as necessary conditions for the deduction of the cost or expense, according to what is expressly indicated.	
		It is understood that the benefit test is met when the service provides economic or commercial value for the recipient of the service, improving or maintaining its commercial position, which occurs if unrelated parties have satisfied the necessity of the service, executing it by itself or through a third party.	
		The documentation and information provided must show the effective provision of the service, the nature of the service, the actual need for the service, the costs and expenses incurred by the service provider, as well as the reasonable allocation criteria. In case of the change of allocation criteria, the taxpayer must justify the reason and/or need for such a change.	
		The deduction of the cost or expense for the service received is determined on the basis of the sum of the costs and expenses incurred by the service provider as well as his profit margin.	

		In the case of low value-added services, said margin cannot exceed five percent (5%) of the costs and expenses incurred by the service provider. Low value-added services are considered to fulfill the following characteristics:	
		(i) they are auxiliary or supportive;	
		(ii) do not constitute main activities of the taxpayer or the multinational group, as appropriate;	
		(iii) do not require the use of unique and valuable intangibles, nor do they lead to the creation of unique and valuable intangibles; and	
		(iv) do not involve assuming or controlling a high or significant level of risk, nor do they generate a significant level of risk to the service provider. The regulation, which is pending approval, will provide examples of services that would likely meet the definition of low value-adding intra-group services.	
16	Do you have any simplified approach	□ Yes	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A,
	for low value-adding intra-group services?	⊠ No	subsection i)
		However, the provision mentioned above has established that low value-adding intragroup services should not have a margin greater than 5% of costs and expenses incurred by the service provider.	
17	Are there any other rules outside	⊠ Yes	Income Tax Law, Chapter II, Article 9,
	transfer pricing rules that are relevant for the tax treatment of	□ No	subsections i) and j)
	transactions involving services?	According to Article 9, subsections i) and j) of the Income Tax Law, some assumptions of services rendered by non-resident subjects are considered to be	Income Tax Law, Chapter VII, Article 56° subsection j)
		Peruvian source income, such as technical assistance and digital services when these are provided from abroad for use in the country.	Income Tax Law, Chapter VI, Article 41
		Also, the last paragraph of Article 41, establishes that in the case of imported goods, the commissions recognized to related entities that would have acted as intermediaries in the purchase transaction will not be admitted to the depreciable value, unless it is proved that the services were actually rendered and the commission do not to exceed that which would have been generally recognized as independent third parties to the purchaser.	Income Tax Law, Chapter XIV, Articles 111 to 116-B
		On the other hand, Article 114(9) of the Income Tax Law establishes a controlled foreign companies regimen that covers the income results from certain transactions between related parties in the following events:	

		a) Such income constitutes a deductible expense for the domiciled persons to determine their tax in the country, and b) Such income does not constitute Peruvian source income or is subject to the presumption provided for in Article 48 of this law, or being entirely of Peruvian source are subject to a tax rate of less than thirty percent (30%). Financial Transactions	
18	[NEW] Does your domestic legislation or regulations provide guidance specific to financial transactions?	□ Yes □ No	
19	[NEW] Are there any other rules outside transfer pricing rules that are relevant for the tax treatment of financial transactions?	No The recommendations of Action 4 were implemented through Legislative Decree No 1224. The measures were effective as of 1 January 2021. According to this rule, the amount of interest expense arising from related and unrelated party loans that taxpayers may deduct from taxable income is limited to 30% of its EBITDA from the previous fiscal year. For these purposes, EBITDA is defined as taxable earnings before interest income and expense, depreciation and amortization. Interest expense that exceeds the threshold could be carried forward and deducted in the next four fiscal years. Taxpayers that incorporate or start their operations during the year will consider the EBITDA from their year of incorporation or starting of activities. It should be noted that this rule applies irrespective of whether the interest expense arises from obligations that were issued before January 1, 2021. The EBITDA rule does not apply to taxpayers whose net profits do not exceed 2500 Peruvian Tax Units and taxpayers that develop public infrastructure projects or public services under Legislative Decree No 1224, among other exceptions.	Income Tax Law, Chapter VI, Article 37 subsection a) Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter VI, Article 21°, subsection a), paragraph 6

		Cost Contribution Agreements	
20	Does your jurisdiction have legislation or regulations on cost contribution agreements?	□ Yes ⊠ No	
		Transfer Pricing Documentation	
21	Does your legislation or regulations require the taxpayer to prepare transfer pricing documentation?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No If affirmative, please check all that apply: ☑ Master file consistent with Annex I to Chapter V of the TPG ☑ Local file consistent with Annex II to Chapter V of the TPG ☑ Country-by-country report consistent with Annex III to Chapter V of the TPG ☐ Specific transfer pricing returns (separate or annexed to the tax return) ☐ Other (specify): 	
22	Please briefly explain the relevant requirements related to filing of transfer pricing documentation (i.e. timing for preparation or submission, languages, etc.)	Peruvian Income Tax Law and regulation establishes the transfer pricing documentation: Local File Threshold: Accrued income exceeds UIT 2 300 (EUR 2 209 000 approximately). Timing for submission: Yearly. The return is filed in accordance with the maturity schedule approved for the declaration and payment of monthly settlement taxes for the May tax period for the following financial year to which the declaration corresponds. Master File Threshold: Accrued group income exceeds UIT 20 000 (EUR 19 213 000 approximately). Timing for submission: Yearly.	Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection g) Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter 19, Articles 116 and 116

		The Master File return is filed in accordance with the maturity schedule that is approved for the declaration and payment of monthly settlement taxes for the September tax period of the fiscal year following the corresponding declaration. Country by Country Report Threshold: Taxpayers who are members of a group of multinational companies that consolidate financial statements whose income exceeds the PEN 2 700 million (EUR 589 501 000 approximately). Timing for submission: Yearly. The tax return is filed in accordance with the maturity schedule that is approved for the declaration and payment of monthly settlement taxes for the September tax period of the fiscal year following the corresponding declaration. All informative affidavits and supporting information must be presented in the Spanish language. (*) UIT (Peruvian Tax Unit). For 2021 is equal to PEN 4400 (EUR 960 approximately).	
23	Does your legislation provide for specific transfer pricing penalties and/or compliance incentives	✓ Yes□ No	Tax Code, Book IV, Title I, Article 176 (numerals 2, 4 and 8) and Article 177 (numeral 27)
	regarding transfer pricing documentation?	According to numerals 2, 4 and 8 of Article 176 of the Peruvian Tax Code, it is a punishable offense:	Tax Code, Table I
		(2) Not to submit informative affidavit within the established deadlines. The penalty is a fine equivalent to 0.6% of net income.	
		(4) Submit the informative affidavit incomplete or with nonconforming information. The penalty is a fine equivalent to 0.6% of net income.	
		(8) Failure to file the informative affidavit without taking under consideration the guidelines and terms establish by SUNAT. It is punishable with a fine equivalent to 30% of a UIT (EUR 288 approximately).	
		Also, numeral 27 of Article 177 of the Tax Code establishes that failure to show or to file the documentation and information referred to in subsection g) of Article 32-A of the Income Tax Law; which, among others, support the informative affidavits Local File, Master File and/or Country-by-Country Report, is a punishable offense with a fine equivalent to 0.6% of the net income, which cannot be less than 10% of a UIT or more than 25 UIT.	

24	If your legislation provides for exemption from transfer pricing documentation obligations, please explain.	According to the provisions given in the Article 32°-A, subsection g) of the Income Tax Law, the SUNAT may exclude taxpayers to the obligation to submit the informative affidavits LocalFile, Master File and/or Country-by-Country Report. Local file: According to the thresholds established in the Superintendence Resolution N° 014-2018/SUNAT, taxpayers whose accrued income does not exceed 2 300 Tax Units and transactions subject to the transfer pricing rules are below 100 Tax Units are exempted. Taxpayers with transactions subject to the transfer pricing rules between 100 and 400 Tax Units, are only required to prepare a simplified local file. In addition, according to Article 3, the Companies that are part of business activity of the State, which apply the Legislative Decree 1031 that promotes the efficiency of the State's Business Activity, likewise the state's companies that belong to the local and regional government that apply the first supplementary transitory and amendment provision of this legislative decree are not obliged to present the local file. Master File: According to the thresholds established in the Superintendence Resolution N° 163-2018/SUNAT, taxpayers whose accrued group income does not exceed 20 000 Tax Units, and transactions subject to the transfer pricing rules are below 400 Tax Units are exempted.	Resolución de Superintendencia, N. 014-2018, Article 2° Resolución de Superintendencia, N. 163-2018, Article 2°
25	Which mechanisms are available in your jurisdiction to prevent and/or resolve transfer pricing disputes?	Administrative Approaches to Avoiding and Resolving Disputes Please check those that apply: □ Rulings □ Enhanced engagement programs □ Advance Pricing Agreements (APA) □ Unilateral APAs □ Bilateral APAs □ Multilateral APAs □ Multilateral APAs □ Mutual Agreement Procedures □ Other (please specify): Particular consultations related to the application of transfer pricing regulations, issued according to Article 95-A of the Tax Code, are considered as one type of ruling.	Tax Code, Book II, Title 5, Article 95-A Income Tax Law, Chapter V, Article 32°-A, subsection f) Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter 19, Articles 118 Peru's MAP Profile

		On the other hand, Peruvian legal framework only establishes the possibility of entering into unilateral APAs with domiciled taxpayers, since Peru has not implemented bilateral or multilateral APA programs to date.				
Safe Harbours and Other Simplification Measures						
26	Does your jurisdiction have rules on safe harbours in respect of certain industries, types of taxpayers, or types of transactions?	□ Yes ⊠ No				
27	Does your jurisdiction have any other simplification measures not listed in this questionnaire? If so, please provide a brief explanation.	□ Yes ⊠ No				
	Other Legislative Aspects or Administrative Procedures					
28	Does your jurisdiction allow/require taxpayers to make year-end adjustments?	□ Yes ⊠ No				
		Peruvian regulations do not require or allow (explicitly) year-end adjustments, however, the evaluation of transfer pricing does consider a fiscal year, so that taxpayers can make their internal pre-assessments and do the corresponding adjustments. Taxpayers also have the possibility of making adjustments after the close of the year, by income tax returns through the additions by adjustments of transfer pricing, if				
		corresponding.				
29	Does your jurisdiction make secondary adjustments?		Supreme Decree N° 122-94-EF Chapter XIX, Article 109, subsection d)			
		According to Article 109, subsection d) of the Income Tax Regulation, if as a result of the adjustment from the application of the transfer pricing rules, the dividends referred to in Article 24-A of the Peruvian Income Tax Law shall not be generated, except as provided for in subsection g) of that article, under which the mentioned adjustment will be considered as an indirect income provision taxed with the dividend tax.				

		Dividend tax rate is equivalent to 5%.			
Attribution of Profits to Permanent Establishments					
30	[NEW] Does your jurisdiction follow the Authorised OECD Approaches for the attribution of profits to PEs (AOA)?	□ Yes ⊠ No			
		All tax treaties include the Authorized OECD Approaches for the attribution of profits to PEs referred to in the version of Article 7 of the OECD MTC prior to the 2010 amendment.			
31	[NEW] Does your jurisdiction follow also another approach?	□ Yes			
		$oxed{oxed}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}} $			
Other Relevant Information					
32	Other legislative aspects or administrative procedures regarding transfer pricing	N/A			
33	Other relevant information (e.g. whether your jurisdiction is preparing new transfer pricing regulations, or other relevant aspects not addressed in this questionnaire)	N/A			

For more information, please visit: https://oe.cd/transfer-pricing-country-profiles